

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,876	07/29/2003	William C. Stumphauzer	1-16068	5582
7590 04/06/2007 · MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC			EXAMINER	
Attention: Donald A. Schurr			SZEKELY, PETER A	
8th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Four SeaGate Toledo, OH 4360	4		1714	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONI	THS	04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,876	STUMPHAUZER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lon. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>27 February 2007</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 1 <u>1,</u> 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,37-39 and 45-63</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,12,14-18,21,37-39 and 4	5-63 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 3,10,11,13,19 and 20 is/are objection	ected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	oπection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents.3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	priority documents have been		
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.	
Attachment(s)	·		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/07.	<u> </u>	nformal Patent Application	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/629,876 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12, 14-18, 21, 37-39, 45-56 and 59-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marinow WO 02/10235, with Marinow 6,756,450 serving as its English translation, in view of Kolb et al. 6,568,483 or Chheang et al. 2003/0100654.
- 3. Marinow has been described already in the previous Office action. The precipitated olefin is part of the plastisol, which will be fused in the future. A plastisol is a slurry. In the slurry the precipitated olefin is the solid component. Kolb et al. has also been discussed previously. Chheang et al. show the advantages of adding nanoparticles to a hot melt adhesive. See the Abstract and claims 1-2.
- 4. Claims 57-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerace et al 4,900,771, in vie of Chheang et al. 2003/0100654.
- 5. Gerace et al. has been described already in the previous Office action.

 Chheang et al. has been discussed in paragraph #3. The claims do not specify chlorine-free composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1714

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 7. Claims 53-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beck et al. 5,840,786, Beck et al. 5,965,645 or Illinois Tool Works, Inc. WO 01/53389.
- 8. In the absence of any chemical composition or description of the ingredients, the examiner decided that a chlorine-free plastisol, which can be used as an adhesive fulfills all the requirements of the rejected claims. Beck et al. ('786) disclose a halogen free plastisol in claim 1 and adhesives in claims 12 and 16. Beck et al. teach plastisol in claim 1 and adhesive in claim 21. Illinois Tool recites plastisol in claims 8 and 9 and adhesives in claims 1, 31 and 43. All properties are inherent in the composition.

 Applicants' claims are not novel.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 3, 10, 11, 13, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 22-28 are allowed.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only: For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57(1-272-1000).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 3/30/07